Peter Dickson, NJ Attorney ID # 001661979 Law Offices of Peter Dickson 23 Route 31 North, Suite A28 Pennington, NJ 08534

Telephone: (609) 690-0312 Cell phone: (609) 651-9960 Email: rwppddlaw@cs.com

dicksonpd@cs.com
Attorney for Plaintiffs,
David P. Morrisette
and Sandra S. Morrisette

David P. Morrisette and : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY Sandra S. Morrisette, : LAW DIVISION - WARREN COUNTY

5 Fairview Heights :

Phillipsburg, NJ 08865 : DOCKET NO. WRN-L-000378-24

Plaintiffs,

v. : Civil Action

Town of Phillipsburg Town Council,: :

the governing body of the municipality, :

with offices at Municipal Building, : NOTICE OF MOTION 120 Filmore Street, : FOR LEAVE TO FILE Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865, : AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant. : IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS

TO: Clerk, Superior Court of New Jersey for the Warren County Vicinage Somerset County Courthouse

20 North Bridge Street, Second Floor

Somerville NJ 08876-1262

and Michael L. Collins, Esq. Nicholas D. Hession, Esq.

King Moench & Collins, LLP 200 Schulz Drive, Suite 402

Red Bank, NJ 07701

for the Defendant, Town of Phillipsburg Town Council

and Mark R. Peck, Esq.

Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, LLC

91 Larry Holmes Drive, Suite 200

WRN-L-000378-24 02/07/2025 4:00:05 PM Pg 2 of 2 Trans ID: LCV2025305933

Easton, PA 18042

Dated: February 7, 2025.

Attorneys for Intervention Movant, Peron Construction, Inc.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 28, 2025, or as soon as the court will

consider this matter, the Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette, by and

through undersigned counsel, will move this Court for an order granting leave to file an

Amended Complaint in Lieu of Prerogative Writs.

In support of this motion the Plaintiffs will rely upon the enclosed letter brief.

A proposed form of order is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter Dickson

Peter Dickson

Attorney for Plaintiffs

-2-

Peter Dickson, NJ Attorney ID # 001661979 Law Offices of Peter Dickson 23 Route 31 North, Suite A28 Pennington, NJ 08534 Telephone: (609) 690-0312 Cell phone: (609) 651-9960 Email: rwppddlaw@cs.com

dicksonpd@cs.com Attorney for Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette

David P. Morrisette and : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY Sandra S. Morrisette, LAW DIVISION - WARREN COUNTY

5 Fairview Heights

Phillipsburg, NJ 08865 DOCKET NO. WRN-L-000378-24

Plaintiffs,

Civil Action

Town of Phillipsburg Town Council, the governing body of the municipality, with offices at Municipal Building,

120 Filmore Street,

Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865, ORDER

Defendant.

This matter having been brought before the Court on the Motion by the Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette, by and through their counsel, Peter Dickson, for leave to file an Amended Complaint in these proceedings; and

The Court having considered the papers and submissions submitted in support of this motion and in opposition, and having considered any oral argument on the matter, and good cause appearing;

|--|

1. The Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette, are granted leave to file an Amended Complaint in this matter, and

2. Plaintiffs shall file the Amended Comp	plaint within seven (7) days of this Order and
serve a copy of this Order and the Amended Com	aplaint on all parties in this matter within seven
(7) days of its filing.	
	J.S.C.
The Motion was	
[] Opposed	
[] Unopposed	

PETER DICKSON

ATTORNEY AT LAW LAW OFFICES OF PETER DICKSON 23 ROUTE 31 NORTH, SUITE A28

PENNINGTON NEW JERSEY 08534

MEMBER N.J. AND D.C. BARS

Telephone: (609) 690-0312 Cell phone: (609) 651-9960

February 7, 2025

The Honorable Veronica Allende Judge Superior Court Somerset County Courthouse 20 North Bridge Street, Floor 1 Somerville NJ 08876-1262

> Re: Morrisette v. Town of Phillipsburg Town Council, WRN-L-000378-24 Letter Brief in Support of Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint

Dear Judge Allende:

This firm represents the plaintiffs in this action, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette. Please accept this letter brief in lieu of a more formal brief in support of plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend their complaint.

CONCISE STATEMENT OF PERTINENT FACTS

In 2005, Peron Construction LP, owned by Michael Perrucci, Esq., purchased Block 2102, Lot 2, the last undeveloped riverfront site in Phillipsburg. In 2005, at the specific request of Mr. Perrucci, and Peron, the Town of Phillipsburg took two official actions. The Town designated Block 2.01, lot 2 (the Site) as an area in need of redevelopment pursuant to the Local Redevelopment And Housing Law (LRHL), N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1 et seq. In 2005, again at the specific

request of Mr. Perrucci and Peron, the Town changed the zoning of this site from light industrial to Riverfront Residential.

After these changes taken at the specific request of Mr. Perrucci, from 2005 to the present, no development consistent with the site's zoning was undertaken. Mr. Perrucci is a founding partner in the law firm of what is now called Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton, L.L.C.. Florio Perrucci partner Douglas Steinhardt, Esq., is a New Jersey State Senator, the Warren County Republican Committee Chair and most recently designated to be the United States Attorney for New Jersey.

On May 4, 2021, the council of the Town of Phillipsburg adopted Ordinance 2021-14, which purported to grant permission for Peron to construct a large refrigerated warehouse on the Site. Plaintiffs including the plaintiffs in this action filed WRN-L-248-21, which challenged that ordinance. The claims in that case relating to disqualifying conflicts of interest have been briefed and argued before Hon. Kevin Shanahan, A.J.S.C., but not decided. By consent of the parties, the case has been held in abeyance pending developments in subsequent cases.

On November 1, 2022, the Town adopted Ordinance 2022-30, which also purported to permit Peron to develop a warehouse on the Site. Plaintiffs in this action filed WRN-L-541-22, challenging that ordinance. That case was voluntarily dismissed by the Town.

This case challenges Ordinance 2024-14, adopted on September 11, 2024, which also purports to permit Peron to develop a warehouse on the Site. The complaint pleads five counts:

Count One, due process violations in the adoption of Ordinance 2024-14;

Count Two, inconsistency of the amendment with the Master Plan and lack of a required Master Plan Reexamination Report since 2013;

Count Three, inconsistency of the amendment with the 2013 Master Plan Reexamination Report;

Count Four, disqualifying conflicts of interest; and

Count Five, arbitrary and capricious decisionmaking.

On December 13, 2024, the Town answered the complaint, generally denying the claims of the complaint.

Prior to the adoption of Ordinance 2024-14, the Land Use Board had on August 7, 2024, adopted a Consistency Report, which purported to find that Ordinance 2024-14 was consistent with the Master Plan. This 2024 Consistency Report was the subject of Count Three of the Complaint. The Complaint also noted that the Town had not adopted a valid Reexamination Report within ten years of the 2013 Reexamination Report, in violation of N.J.S.A. 40A:55D-89, which accordingly, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1, deemed all of the Town's "development regulations" presumptively unreasonable."

On January 23, 2025, the Planning Board adopted the 2024 Master Plan Re-

Examination Report, which did not recommend changing the zoning for the Site to permit a warehouse. Rather, the 2024 Re-Examination Report referred to the 2024 Consistency Report.

REASONS FOR THE AMENDMENT TO THE COMPLAINT

The amendments are in paragraphs 5, 7, and 13, a new paragraph 39 and renumbering subsequent paragraphs, a new paragraph 48 and subsequent renumbering, and a new sentence at the end of renumbered paragraph 57. These changes reflect that the Planning Board adopted a new Master Plan Reexamination Report, which is, nonetheless not applicable to this Ordinance, and the resignation of two of the three Council members who voted for approval on the 3-2 vote to approve the Redevelopment Plan amendment in Ordinance 2024-14. The complaint pleads the lack of a valid re-examination report applicable to this Ordinance in paragraphs 13-21, 25-26 and Count Three, and is proposed to be amended to reflect the 2024 Re-Examination Report.

ARGUMENT

LEAVE TO AMEND IS TO BE LIBERALLY GRANTED WITHOUT REGARD TO THE MERITS OF THE PROPOSED PLEADING

As the court knows, this motion is governed by \underline{R} . 4:9-1 which provides, in pertinent part:

A party may amend any pleading as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served or, if the pleading is one to which no

responsive pleading is to be served, and the action has not been placed upon the trial calendar, at any time within 90 days after it is served. Thereafter a party may amend a pleading only by written consent of the adverse party or by leave of court which shall be freely given in the interest of justice. [R. 4:9-1.]

A motion for leave to amend is to be liberally granted and without consideration of the ultimate merits of the amendment. Pressler & Verniero,

<u>Current N.J. Court Rules</u>, comment 2.1 on <u>R.</u> 4:9-1 (2025). Accord, <u>Kernan v. One</u>

<u>Wash. Park Urban Renewal Assocs.</u>, 154 <u>N.J.</u> 437, 457 (1998); see also <u>Fisher v.</u>

<u>Yates</u>, 270 <u>N.J. Super.</u> 458, 467 (App. Div. 1994) ("While motions for leave to amend pleadings are to be liberally granted, they nonetheless are best left to the sound discretion of the trial court in light of the factual situation existing at the time each motion is made."). "That exercise of discretion requires a two-step process: whether the non-moving party will be prejudiced, and whether granting the amendment would nonetheless be futile." <u>Notte v. Merchants Mut. Ins. Co.</u>, 185 <u>N.J.</u> 490, 501 (2006).

In this case, amendment is necessary to align the pleadings in the complaint to developments since that complaint was filed, and to reframe claims for relief to account for those developments. No prejudice to the defendant shall occur, as this comes very early in the case and before any briefing has been scheduled.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons given in this motion, plaintiffs respectfully request that

their motion be granted and the court accept the amended complaint for filing.

Respectfully,

/s/ Peter Dickson

Peter Dickson Attorney for Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette N.J. Attorney # 001661979 Peter Dickson, NJ Attorney ID # 001661979 Law Offices of Peter Dickson 23 Route 31 North, Suite A28 Pennington, NJ 08534 Telephone: (609) 690-0312 Cell phone: (609) 651-9960

Email: rwppddlaw@cs.com dicksonpd@cs.com Attorney for Plaintiffs, David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette

David P. Morrisette and : SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

Sandra S. Morrisette, : LAW DIVISION - WARREN COUNTY

5 Fairview Heights :

Phillipsburg, NJ 08865 : DOCKET NO. WRN-L-000378-24

Plaintiffs,

v. : Civil Action

Town of Phillipsburg Town Council,: :

the governing body of the municipality,

with offices at Municipal Building, :

120 Filmore Street,

Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865, : AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant. : IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS

1. This is an action in lieu of prerogative writs to challenge Ordinance 2024-14 (Ordinance), adopted by the Town of Phillipsburg Town Council (Council) to amend its 2013 Redevelopment Plan to change the zoning of certain riverfront parcels. The change in zoning will permit construction of a massive refrigerated truck distribution warehouse on the last undeveloped portion of its Delaware River waterfront. This amendment is in violation of the Town's Master Plan and Reexamination Reports and constitutes arbitrary and capricious decisionmaking. The vote to approve this Ordinance was compromised by disqualifying conflicts of interest.

- 2. Plaintiffs David P. Morrisette and Sandra S. Morrisette are adults residing at 5 Fairview Heights, Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865.
- 3. Defendant Town of Phillipsburg is a municipality organized under the laws of New Jersey, in Warren County, with its address at 120 Fillmore Street, Phillipsburg, NJ 08865.
 - 4. On its home page on its website, this is how Phillipsburg describes itself:

Welcome To Phillipsburg, New Jersey Located on the Delaware River, in a beautiful setting of rolling hills, woodlands, and flowing waters, Phillipsburg, New Jersey offers the best of all worlds. Here, you can escape from crowded, impersonal developments, and find the joys of living in a close-knit community of families and friends, as you enjoy all the advantages of urban living as well as rural atmosphere - from a quaint downtown waterfront shopping district, to a choice of nearby airports. Just 30 minutes from the Pocono Mountains, and midway between Philadelphia and New York City, Phillipsburg is an historic town with an exciting future. It's a place where the beauty, culture, tourism and recreational activities are enhanced with a growing base of small and mid-size businesses. [http://www.phillipsburgnj.org.]

This self-description is utterly incompatible with a massive waterfront, 320,000 to 420,000 square-foot-truck-served distribution warehouse.

5. On September 11, 2024, the Phillipsburg Town Council adopted on second reading Ordinance 2024-14 (Ordinance), which adopted the "Town of Phillipsburg District 5 Amendment - Riverside Industrial Riverfront Redevelopment Plan (RRP)" to amend the amended redevelopment plan to change the zoning of two particular District 5 riverfront parcels from Riverside Residential to Riverside Industrial to permit industrial uses such as warehouses.

- 6. Notice of the adoption of Ordinance 2024-14 was published in The Express-Times on September 16, 2024. This complaint challenges this ordinance.
- 7. The Ordinance was adopted by a 3-2 vote over significant public opposition. Since that vote, two Council members who voted in favor have resigned.
- 8. The parcels affected are Lots 2.01 and 2.02 in Block 2102. The entire parcel containing Lots 2.01 and 2.02 in Block 2102 with the addition of Lot 1 contains 42.61 acres, according to the acreage reported on the tax map current when the Ordinance 2024-14 was first read. All of these lands were either zoned for residential development or as open space. The previous Revised Riverfront Redevelopment Plan, adopted November 4, 2013, permitted retail, office uses, museums, and cultural and educational facilities on the first floor of residential mid-rise buildings to serve the residential areas of the parcel.
- 9. A portion of the Riverfront Development Area adjacent to the parcels rezoned, Block 2102, Lot 1, consists of Green Acres properties. The land is listed on Phillipsburg's Open Space Inventory and may not be diverted to other use without the consent of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. The land is presently not developed and covered in vegetation and is located in what is currently called an Historic Recreational Zone; it extends from Delaware River Park to the northwest and is surrounded on three sides by Lot 2.02 in the redevelopment District. In an earlier attempt to approve a large truck distribution warehouse in Block 2102, the Council unsuccessfully requested that Lot 1 be removed from the Open Space Inventory and used

for redevelopment. On first reading, Ordinance 2024-14 was amended to remove Lot 1 from the parcels to be rezoned for industrial use.

- 10. Lot 2.01 is located on part of the northern boundary of Lot 1, which is known as Delaware River Park and currently has walking areas, a large cleared area for football and soccer fields, two active dog run areas both on Lot 1, one a smaller dog run and one large dog run used by Phillipsburg and non-Phillipsburg residents. Much of the Lot 1 property is tree covered, but clear for residents to walk. The impact of the rezoning of Ordinance 2024-14 and the adopted amended District 5 redevelopment plan and the projected warehouse development on Delaware River Park and its use as a park by Phillipsburg was not substantively considered.
- 11. The Council had referred the proposed development to the Land Use Board (LUB) for review of consistency with the Town's Master Plan, pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 40A:12A-7d.
 - 12. The Town's most recent Master Plan was completed in 2004.
- 13. The most recent reexamination report adopted prior to the enactment of Ordinance 2024-14 for the Town of Phillipsburg's Master Plan was completed in 2013 and adopted by the Planning Board on December 23, 2013. N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89 requires that a reexamination report be prepared every ten years. As a matter of law, in the absence of the required reexamination report, the Town's "municipal development regulations" including current zoning ordinances and redevelopment plans are presumed unreasonable and arbitrary and capricious. N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1.

- 14. The LUB commissioned a "Consistency Review Report: Proposed Amendment to the Riverfront Redevelopment Plan," by Van Cleef Engineering Associates, Inc., dated August 7, 2024 (2024 Consistency Report). This Consistency Report was the basis for the LUB counsel's letter to the Council which recommended adoption of Ordinance 2024-14.
- 15. The 2024 Consistency Report purports to find that Ordinance 2024-14 is consistent with the Master Plan and 2013 Reexamination Report.
- 16. The 2024 Consistency Report nowhere mentions let alone discusses that the 2004 Master Plan and 2013 Reexamination Report are presumed unreasonable by law, N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1. The 2024 Consistency Report never mentions or discusses any reason why the 2004 Master Plan and 2013 Reexamination Report should nonetheless be deemed reasonable in spite of N.J.S.A. 40:44D-89.1.
- 17. The 2013 Master Plan Reexamination Report states that the properties along Howard Street, which includes Block 2102, Lots 2.01 and 2.02, are specifically to be zoned Riverside Residential, Riverside Commercial and/or Riverside Heritage. Block 2102, Lots 2.01 and 2.02 lie to the south of Howard Street. At the time that the 2013 Master Plan Reexamination Report was written, the properties owned by Peron Construction, Block 2102, Lots 2.01 and 2.02, were zoned Riverside Residential and were therefore in compliance with the 2013 Master Plan Reexamination Report.
- 18. The 2013 Master Plan Reexamination Report specifically mentions that the properties to the north of Howard Street, which were light industrial at the time of the creation of this report, were to be rezoned to Riverside Residential, Riverside

Commercial and/or Riverside Heritage. These properties remain zoned light industrial.

- 19. Although the 2024 Consistency Report contains voluminous discussion and attaches voluminous documents, it refers for the fundamental finding of consistency with the 2004 Master Plan and reexamination reports to a February 25, 2021, consistency report also prepared by Van Cleef Engineering Associates (2021 Consistency Report). The 2024 Consistency Report specifically identifies itself as "an update to reflect additional information/considerations." The 2021 Consistency Report made a finding that the then proposed redevelopment plan amendment was consistent based not on the 2004 Master Plan or reexamination reports, but on the 1998 Master Plan in effect before the 2004 Master Plan.
- 20. The LUB voted to accept the 2024 Consistency Report as presented for the proposed amendment to the riverfront redevelopment plan on August 22, 2024 at a public hearing. While the 2024 Consistency Report contains a series of recommendations, none of the recommendations were part of the Council's adoption of Ordinance 2024-14; the Council provided no explanation of this.
- 21. The LUB hearing on the consistency of Ordinance 2024-14 with the Master Plan did not include any discussion of, or awareness of, the provisions of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1, to the effect that because of the absence of a required reexamination report, all of the Town's development regulations are presumptively unreasonable.
- 22. The LUB did not prepare a report or recommendation for transmittal to the Town Council. The LUB did not adopt a resolution containing its findings, if any, on the

consistency of Ordinance 2024-14 with the 2004 Master Plan or any reexamination report.

- 23. The LUB reported to the Council by way of a cursory letter dated August 23, 2024 from its counsel containing no findings or discussion. The letter merely stated that "[t]he Board is of the opinion that an amendment to the Riverfront Redevelopment Plan to designate District No. 5 from Riverside Residential to Riverside Industrial is appropriate and consistent with the Riverfront Redevelopment Plan, the Town's Master Plan and the Town's zoning ordinances."
- 24. The LUB counsel letter of August 23, 2024 does not contain any findings or facts to support the statement that "an amendment to the Riverfront Redevelopment Plan is appropriate and consistent with the Riverfront Redevelopment Plan, or the Master Plan or the Town's zoning ordinances."
- 25. The LUB counsel letter of August 23, 2024 does not contain any discussion of or findings concerning the consequences of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1 due to the absence of a required reexamination report. The LUB failed to notify the Council that in the absence of a required reexamination report the Town's development regulations including its zoning ordinances and redevelopment plan are all presumed unreasonable. The LUB failed to notify the Council that in the absence of a required reexamination report the Town's development regulations including the redevelopment plan and any redevelopment plan amendment are all presumed unreasonable.
 - 26. Ordinance 2024-14 does not include any discussion of or findings that the

proposed ordinance is consistent with the 2004 Master Plan or any reexamination report. Ordinance 2024-14 does not mention that in the absence of a required reexamination report, the Town's zoning ordinances and redevelopment plans are presumed unreasonable. Ordinance 2024-14 does not contain any discussion with respect to whether the Town's zoning ordinances and redevelopment plans are reasonable.

- 27. The Riverfront Redevelopment Area was designated as an "area in need of redevelopment" in 2005, pursuant to the Local Redevelopment and Housing Law, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 40A:12A-1 et seq. (LRHL).
- 28. Various redevelopment plans that included this area have been drafted and adopted over the years.
- 29. According to the Ordinance 2024-14, the current redevelopment plan is the "Revised Riverfront Redevelopment Plan" adopted by the Council and dated November 2013 (2013 Plan).
- 30. The 2013 Plan designated three districts for this area. District 3 was "Recreational/Heritage," to be primarily parks and recreational facilities.
- 31. The 2013 Plan designated District 5, which roughly coincides with the area that is legislated by the Ordinance 2024-14, as "Riverside Residential," to consist primarily of residential buildings, and retail, museum, cultural and office use on the ground floors, and parks and recreational facilities.
- 32. The 2013 Plan set out eleven "Redevelopment Goals and Objectives," none of which are consistent with a 320,000 to 420,000 sq. ft. warehouse.

- 33. According to the 2021 Consistency Report, the most recent redevelopment plan is dated 2017. The Ordinance 2024-14 does not refer to or purport to amend any redevelopment plan dated in 2017.
 - 34. The 2004 Master Plan is captioned "Master Plan Update."
 - 35. The overarching goal of the 2004 Master Plan is as follows:

Goals & Objectives

The Town has established one principle [sic] goal toward which the municipal government, its private partners, and the people of Phillipsburg should continuous aim. The goal is:

To maintain the Town of Phillipsburg as a proud community where people and families of all ages and incomes can live and travel safely, have clean and well kept neighborhoods, have a variety of above average housing opportunities and have accessibility to needed businesses and professional services. The community should preserve its history, protect its natural resources, provide recreation for all ages, and maintain a mixture of land uses that will offer a stable tax base.

To guide Phillipsburg toward this goal, specific objectives have been established in each of the elements that follow, pertaining to that particular issue. With these objectives are further recommendations for specific actions designed to begin or continue implementation of the objectives.

Conservation Plan, Objectives,

- 1. Protect critical environment features and areas not other wise regulated.
- 2. Preserve the remaining natural features
- 3. Increase public access and use of the Delaware River

....

Recommendations:

3. Create a Riverfront Development Plan for the entire length of river frontage, providing for river related businesses, activities and housing and including access plans for trails, view areas and such.

The 2024 Consistency Report ignores these goals.

- 36. The 2024 Consistency Report ignores the specific objectives set out in the 2004 Master Plan with respect to responsible development, avoidance of impacts from industrial uses, traffic and safety, environmental protection, parks and recreation, development of the riverfront area for development of river-related activities, and enhancement of Phillipsburg as a tourist destination.
- 37. The 2024 Consistency Report does not provide explanations for its opinions, and is, therefore, a net opinion.
- 38. As the warehouse would be constructed in a redevelopment area, the warehouse would likely qualify for significant tax abatements, and this is clearly stated in the District 5 Amendment, thereby depriving the Town of tax revenues, in contravention of the Master Plan. The Town would consider entering into a Payment In Lieu Of Taxes agreement with the developer, thereby depriving the schools and county of significant tax revenues, in contravention of the Master Plan. The 2024 Consistency Report ignores this fiscal impact.
- 39. On January 25, 2025, the Land Use Board approved a "2024 Master Plan Reexamination Report" prepared by Van Cleef (2024 Reexamination Report). The 2024 Reexamination Report does not recommend that the Master Plan for the Site be changed to permit the construction of a warehouse. The 2024 Reexamination Report does not apply to this application because of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-10.5.

Count One - Violations Of Due Process

- 40. Plaintiffs incorporate their previous allegations as if set forth verbatim.
- 41. Copies of the complete proposed ordinance were not made available to the public before the Council vote on the first reading of the proposed ordinance. Therefore members of the public were denied the due process opportunity to know what the Council was voting on.

Count Two - Inconsistency With Master Plan

- 42. Plaintiffs incorporate their previous allegations as if set forth verbatim.
- 43. Ordinance 2024-14 adopts land use changes that are manifestly inconsistent with the 2004 Master Plan.
- 44. Ordinance 2024-14 does not explain why the Town should adopt land use changes that are manifestly inconsistent with the 2004 Master Plan.
 - 45. The Ordinance 2024-14 constitutes the equivalent of unlawful spot zoning.
- 46. The 2024 Consistency Report is a net opinion and riddled with mistakes and errors, and is based on zoning in effect from the 1988 master plan.
- 47. Ordinance 2024-14 does not contain any discussion of the consequences of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-89.1.
- 48. The absence of any required reexamination report invalidates all redevelopment plans and amendments as unreasonable.
 - 49. The 2024 Reexamination Report does not apply to Ordinance 2024-14.

 Count Three Inconsistency With Reexamination Report

- 50. Plaintiffs incorporate their previous allegations as if set forth verbatim.
- 51. Ordinance 2024-14 adopts land use changes that are manifestly inconsistent with the 2013 Reexamination Report.
- 52. Ordinance 2024-14 does not explain why the Town should adopt land use changes that are manifestly inconsistent with the 2013 Reexamination Report.

Count Four - Disqualifying Conflicts Of Interest

- 53. Plaintiffs incorporate their previous allegations as if set forth verbatim.
- 54. The property in the Riverfront Redevelopment Area is owned by Peron Construction, Inc. The owner of Peron is Michael Perrucci, Esq., a founding and named partner in the law firm of Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli & Tipton LLC. As of the date of this complaint, the law firm's website states "Mike Perrucci is Co-Founder and Partner of Florio Perrucci Steinhardt Cappelli Tipton & Taylor [sic]. Mike is also the owner of Peron Construction, Inc., a real estate development company" Another partner in that law firm is Hon. Douglas Steinhardt, Esq., a State Senator and Chair of the Warren County Republican Committee.
- 55. The three members of the Council voting in favor of Ordinance 2024-14,
 Council President Peter Marino and Council Vice President Meliss Paulus and Council
 Member Matthew Scerbo, received very material and significant financial support for
 their election campaigns from the Warren County Republican Committee, chaired by Mr.
 Steinhardt. In multiple instances, campaign advertisements for these three Council
 members noted that they were paid for by Mr. Steinhardt, Chair of the Warren County

GOP.

56. The Florio Perrucci firm and Mr. Steinhardt have a pattern and practice of attempting to influence the Phillipsburg Mayor and Council, by, among other things, representing past Council members in civil litigation and DWI prosecutions, speaking directly at Council meetings in favor of Peron's proposals and providing significant financial support for candidates' elections. Peron Construction, Inc., was represented by the Florio Perrucci firm in these proceedings.

57. Council President Marino, Vice President Paulus and Member Scerbo are all disqualified by voting on any Peron proposal because of disqualifying conflicts of interest. Council President Marino, Vice President Paulus and Member Scerbo are all disqualified by voting on any Peron proposal because of disqualifying conflicts of interest in violation of the Local Government Ethics Law. Vice President Paulus and Member Scerbo recently resigned from the Council.

Count Five - Arbitrary And Capricious Lawmaking

- 58. Plaintiffs incorporate their previous allegations as if set forth verbatim.
- 59. The acts and omissions complained of in this complaint are arbitrary and capricious decisionmaking.
 - 60. The acts and omissions complained of in this complaint are contrary to law.

WHEREFORE plaintiffs respectfully request that this court find and conclude that Ordinance 2021-14 is void and award them their costs of suit.

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to \underline{R} . 4:5-1(c), plaintiff designates the following as trial counsel: Peter Dickson at the following address:

Law Offices of Peter Dickson Pennington Point, Suite A28 23 Route 31 North Pennington NJ 08534 (609) 690-0312 (609) 651-9960 mobile email: rwppddlaw@cs.com and dicksonpd@cs.com

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO R. 4:69-4

I hereby certify that all necessary transcripts of the proceedings before the Town Council and Land Use Board on Ordinance 2024-14 have been ordered.

CERTIFICATION OF NO OTHER ACTIONS PURSUANT TO R. 4:5-1

I hereby certify that the action subject of the complaint is not the subject of any other action now pending or of any known about to be brought with the exception of:

<u>Kormandy v. Town of Phillipsburg Town Council, WRN-L-000248</u>, currently pending in abeyance before this court.

I am aware that if there is a change in the facts stated in this original certification,

I have a continuing obligation during the court of this litigation to file and serve on all

other parties and with the court an amended certification.

CERTIFICATION OF NO OTHER PARTIES TO JOIN' PURSUANT TO <u>R.</u> 4:28 OR <u>R.</u> 4:29-1(b)

I hereby certify that there are no other parties who should be joined in this proceeding at this time. I am aware that if there is a change in the facts stated in this

original certification, I have a continuing obligation during the course of this litigation to join other parties and to file and serve on all other parties and with the court an amended certification.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH R. 1:38-7(c)

I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with Rule 1:38-7(b)

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter Dickson
Peter Dickson
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: February 7, 2025.